Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,686	BUNSCHOTEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MEI-PING CHUI	1616	

All Participants:

  (1) <u>*MEI-PING CHUI*</u>.

  (2) <u>*William Logsdon*</u>.

Date of Interview: <u>*22 November 2010*</u>

Status of Application: _____

  (3) _____.

  (4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
claims 18-27 and 34-35

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

(1) The result of the discussion was to delete the phrase "at least" recited in claim 20.

(2) The Examiner requested that the claims be amended to place them in condition for allowance. Mr. William Logsdon agreed and details of the amendment are set forth in the Examiner's amendment attached to this interview summary and in the notice of allowance.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)